Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

Visionary Leadership

How well did your administrator preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as an administrator?

1. Develop and articulate a vision of teaching and learning for the school		100.0%
consistent with the local education agency's overall vision and goals		0.0%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%
2 = Poorly	7	0.3%
3 = Adequately	152	5.9%
4 = Well	630	24.4%
5 = Very well	1795	69.5%

4.63 **Mean** 0.61 **SD**

2. Develop a shared commitment to the vision among all members of the school community ${\bf r}$

		DNK 6	0.2%
1 =	Not at all	0	0.0%
2 =	Poorly	7	0.3%
3 =	Adequately	131	5.1%
4 =	Well	616	23.9%
5 =	Very well	1825	70.8%

4.65 **Mean**0.59 **SD**

2579 99.8%

2571 99.5%

2572 99.5%

2568 99.3%

3. Lead by example to promote implementation of the vision

ווטונ	ote implementation of the vision	DNR 1	4	0.5%
1 =	Not at all		1	0.0%
2 =	Poorly		7	0.3%
3 =	Adequately	14	11	5.5%
4 =	Well	59	9	23.3%
5 =	Very well	182	23	70.9%

4.65 **Mean** 0.60 **SD**

4. Share leadership with others in the school community

II OU	iers in the school community	DNR 13	0.5%
1 =	Not at all	0	0.0%
2 =	Poorly	9	0.3%
3 =	Adequately	141	5.5%
4 =	Well	619	24.1%
5 =	Very well	1803	70.1%

4.64 **Mean**0.60 **SD**

Instructional Leadership

How well did your administrator preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as an administrator?

5. Promote implementation of K-12 standards, and pedagogical skills, effective instructional practices and student assessments for content instruction $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

		DNR	17	0.7%
1 =	Not at all		0	0.0%
2 =	Poorly		18	0.7%
3 =	Adequately		215	8.4%
4 =	Well		820	31.9%
5 =	Very well	1	515	59.0%

4.49 **Mean**0.68 **SD**

Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

6. Evaluate, analyze, and provide feedback on the effectiveness of classroom	2	2567	99.3%		
instruction to promote student learning and teacher professional growth	DNR	18	0.7%		
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	4.53	Mean
2 = Poorly		12	0.5%	0.67	SD
3 = Adequately		220	8.6%		
4 = Well		736	28.7%		
5 = Very well	1	1599	62.3%		
7. Demonstrate understanding of the school and community context,		2555	98.8%		
including the instructional implications of cultural/linguistic, socioeconomic, and political factors	DNR	30	1.2%		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ditik	0	0.0%	1 62	Mean
		7	0.0%		
$2 = \frac{\text{Poorly}}{\text{Adequately}}$		138	5.4%	0.60	SD
			25.8%		
4 = Well		658			
5 = Very well	-	1752	68.6%		
8. Communicate with the school community about school wide outcomes	2	2557	98.9%		
data and improvement goals	DNR	28	1.1%		
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	4.58	Mean
2 = Poorly		13	0.5%	0.64	
3 = Adequately		166	6.5%		
4 = Well		708	27.7%		
5 = Very well	1	1670	65.3%		
School Improvement Landership					
School Improvement Leadership					

How well did your administrator preparation program prepare you to do each

of the following as an administrator?					
9. Work with others to identify student and school needs and develop a data-		2425	93.8%		
,		DNR 160	6.2%		
1 =	Not at all	2	0.1%	4.57	Mean
2 =	Poorly	7	0.3%	0.64	SD
3 =	Adequately	168	6.9%		
4 =	Well	689	28.4%		
5 =	Very well	1559	64.3%		
10. Implement change strat	regies based on current, relevant theories and	2425	93.8%		
best practices in school imp	,	DNR 160	6.2%		
1 =	Not at all	2	0.1%	4.56	Mean
2 =	Poorly	7	0.3%	0.64	
3 =	Adequately	162	6.7%		
4 =	Well	715	29.5%		
5 =	Very well	1539	63.5%		
11. Identify and use availab	le human, fiscal, and material resources to	2419	93.6%		
implement the school growt		DNR 166	6.4%		
1 =	Not at all	5	0.2%	4.42	Mean
2 =	Poorly	30	1.2%	0.75	SD
3 =	Adequately	266	11.0%		
4 =	Well	765	31.6%		
5 =	Very well	1353	55.9%		

Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

12. Institute a collaborative, ongoing process of monitoring and revising the growth plan based on student outcomes		2421	93.7%
		DNR 164	6.3%
1 =	Not at all	4	0.2%
2 =	Poorly	7	0.3%
3 =	Adequately	185	7.6%
4 =	Well	692	28.6%
5 =	Very well	1533	63.3%

4.55	Mean
0.66	SD

Professional Learning and Growth Leadership

How well did your administrator preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as an administrator?

13. Model life-long learning and job-related professional growth		2428	93.9%
		DNR 157	6.1%
1 =	Not at all	0	0.0%
2 =	Poorly	7	0.3%
3 =	Adequately	113	4.7%
4 =	Well	575	23.7%
5 =	Very well	1733	71.4%

4.66	Mean
0.58	SD

2420 02.00/

14. Help teachers improve their individual professional practice through professional growth activities		93.9%
		6.1%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%
2 = Poorly	10	0.4%
3 = Adequately	172	7.1%
4 = Well	682	28.1%
5 – Verv well	1563	64.4%

4.56	Mean
0.64	SD

15. Identify and facilitate a variety of professional and personal growth opportunities for faculty, staff, parents, and other members of the school		93.8%
community in support of the educational program	DNR 159	6.2%
1 = Not at all	1	0.0%
2 = Poorly	14	0.6%

tne	e educational program	DNR 159	6.2%
=	Not at all	1	0.0%
=	Poorly	14	0.6%
=	Adequately	180	7.4%
- =	Well	709	29.2%
=	Very well	1522	62.7%

4.54	Mean
0.66	SD

Organizational and Systems Leadership

How well did your administrator preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as an administrator?

3 4 5

16. Understand and manage the complex interaction of all of the school's		93.8%
systems to promote teaching and learning		6.2%
1 = Not at all	1	0.0%
2 = Poorly	9	0.4%
3 = Adequately	203	8.4%
4 = Well	792	32.7%
r Very well	1419	58 5%



Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

17 Davidas issulasias as	d assails while selection builting	2424	93.8%	
17. Develop, Implement, an	17. Develop, implement, and monitor the school's budget		6.2%	
1 =	Not at all	39	1.6%	4.10 Mean
2 =	Poorly	98	4.0%	0.98 <i>SD</i>
3 =	Adequately	517	21.3%	
4 =	Well	700	28.9%	
5 =	Very well	1070	44.1%	
18. Implement California sc	hool laws, guidelines, and other relevant federal,	2423	93.7%	
state, and local requirement	s and regulations	DNR 162	6.3%	
1 =	Not at all	10	0.4%	4.42 Mean
2 =	Poorly	26	1.1%	0.76 SD
_	Poorly Adequately	26 272	1.1%	0.76 SD
3 =	·			0.76 SD

Community Leadership

How well did your administrator preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as an administrator?

the school's accomplishments and needs to the	2351	90.9%		
the school's accomplishments and needs to the	DNR 234	9.1%		
Not at all	3	0.1%	4.38	Mean
Poorly	20	0.9%	0.73	SD
Adequately	276	11.7%		
Well	829	35.3%		
Very well	1223	52.0%		
in helping achieve the school's vision and goals	2351	90.9%		
	DNR 234	9.1%		
Not at all	4	0.2%	4.48	Mean
Poorly	12	0.5%	0.70	SD
Adequately	225	9.6%		
Well	719	30.6%		
Very well	1391	59.2%		
	Poorly Adequately Well Very well in helping achieve the school's vision and goals Not at all Poorly Adequately Well	Not at all 3 234 Not at all 3 20 Adequately 276 Well 829 Very well 1223 in helping achieve the school's vision and goals 2351 DNR 234 Not at all 4 Poorly 12 Adequately 225 Well 719	DNR 234 9.1%	Not at all 3 0.1% 4.38 Poorly 20 0.9% 0.73

Field-based Experience and Other Program Experiences

21. Were your field-based experiences (check all that apply)		90.3%
		9.7%
Helpful to understanding the day to day roles and responsibilities of a school administrator?	1945	75.2%
Helpful to understanding the long term roles and responsibilities of a school administrator?	1869	72.3%
Helpful in providing an opportunity to practice the job role of a school administrator?	1683	65.1%
Related to the administrator job role that you plan to seek?	1 [74	CO 00/
Sufficient?	1574 999	38.6%
My program did not provide field experiences.		2.0%

Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

		2222	00.00/		
22. My program supervisor	(Please check all that apply):	2323	89.9%		
,, , ,		DNR 262	10.1%		
	Was an excellent mentor	1629	63.0%		
	Was experienced and effective	1840	71.2%		
	Understood current educational theory	1635	63.2%		
	Helped me develop problem solving processes that led to my success	1493	57.8%		
	Helped me develop collegial practices that led to my success	1398	54.1%		
	Was well versed in helping me work through problems in educational leadership	1514	58.6%		
	Promoted reflective practice	1648	63.8%		
	I did not have a program supervisor	60	2.3%		
23. My program supervisor	provided consistent feedback	2332 DNR <i>253</i>	90.2% <i>9.8%</i>		
1 =	Strongly Disagree	130	5.7%	4.29	Mean
2 =	Disagree	35	1.5%	1.04	
3 =	Neutral	121	5.3%		
4 =	Agree	749	33.0%		
5 =	Strongly Agree	1238	54.5%		
	I did not have a program supervisor *	59	-		
24.44.51.11.1		2333	90.3%		
24. My field-based supervis	or provided consistent feedback	DNR 252	9.7%		
1 =	Strongly Disagree	132	5.9%	4.27	Mean
2 =	Disagree	33	1.5%	1.05	SD
3 =	Neutral	137	6.1%		
4 =	Agree	747	33.1%		
5 =	Strongly Agree	1207	53.5%		
	I did not have a field-based supervisor *	77	-		
	select the option which best describes your experiend	ce. 2308	89.3%		
knowledgeable program su	pervisor.	DNR 277	10.7%		
1 =	Strongly Disagree	71	3.2%		Mean
2 =	Disagree	52	2.3%	0.94	SD
3 =	Neutral	207	9.2%		
4 =	Agree	857	38.2%		
5 =	Strongly Agree	1056	47.1%		
	I did not have a program supervisor *	65	-		
26. The field-based experie	nces I completed during my program helped	2304	89.1%		
prepare me for my role as a		DNR 281	10.9%		
	Strongly Disagree	68	3.0%	4.43	Mean
2 =	Disagree	18	0.8%	0.86	
3 =	Neutral	98	4.3%		
4 =	Agree	775	34.3%		
5 =	Strongly Agree	1298	57.5%		
	I did not have field-based experiences *	47	-		
	·				

Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

27. My field-based	experiences allowed me to practice and apply my	2303	89.1%		
knowledge of lead	ership acquired through my program's coursework	282	10.9%		
	1 = Strongly Disagree	72	3.2%	4.40	Mean
	2 = Disagree	23	1.0%	0.88	SD
	3 = Neutral	100	4.4%		
	4 = Agree	794	35.1%		
	5 = Strongly Agree	1271	56.2%		
	I did not have field-based experiences *	43	-		
or each question	below select the option which best describes your experience	e in your p	preparatio	on progran	n.
		2281	88.2%		
8. Approximately	how much time did you spend doing supervised fieldwork?	304	11.8%		
	1 = I did not do any supervised field work	118	5.2%	3.26	Mean
	2 = Less than 100 hours	543	23.8%	1.29	
	3 = 100 - 299 hours	836	36.7%		
	4 = 300 - 599 hours	401	17.6%		
	5 = 600 - 799 hours	179	7.8%		
	6 = 800 hours or more	204	8.9%		
0 41 6		2290	88.6%		
	en did you communicate with your program supervisor ed to your practice?	DNR 295	11.4%		
	1 = Never or I did not have a program supervisor	93	4.1%	4 10	Mean
	2 = Less than once per month	220	9.6%	1.40	
	3 = Once per month	444	19.4%	1.10	30
	4 = Twice per month	548	23.9%		
	0	635	27.7%		
	22:	292	12.8%		
		58	2.5%		
	7 = Daily	36	2.370		
		2286	88.4%		
0. About how ofte upervisor?	en did you receive support in the field from your program	DNR 299			
upervisor :	Navan au T did nak baya a muanunan aynamisay		11.6%	2.01	
	1 = Never or I did not have a program supervisor	150	6.6%		Mean
	2 = Less than once per month	276	12.1%	1.50	SD
	3 = Once per month	487	21.3%		
	4 = Twice per month	498	21.8%		
	5 = Once per week	541	23.7%		
	6 = 2-3 times per week	260	11.4%		
	7 = Daily	74	3.2%		
	Volum Overall Dreading Company				
	Your Overall Program Summary				
	vell do you think your program prepares graduates to	2297	88.9%		
ecome school lea	dayaC	DNR 288	11.1%		

DNR 288	11.1%
5	0.2%
404	17.7%
1875	82.1%
13	-
	5 404

2.82 **Mean** 0.39 **SD**

Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

3.65 *Mean*0.54 *SD*

Date: 10/15/2018

18.0%

6.5%

466

168

32. How effective was your preparation program at helping you develop the skills and tools you needed to become a school leader?		2296	88.8%
		DNR 289	11.2%
1 =	Ineffective	1	0.0%
2 =	Somewhat effective	70	3.1%
3 =	Effective	665	29.1%
4 =	Very effective	1552	67.8%
	No opinion, or decline to state *	8	-
33. Please indicate the reas	on, or reasons, you enrolled in and completed the	2298	88.9%
Preliminary Administrative S	Services program.	DNR 287	11.1%
	Want to get a position as a school administrator	1779	68.8%
Have a position as a school, district, or county office administrator (completed the program as an			
	Administrative Intern)	419	16.2%
Want to get a position as a district office or county office administrator		812	31.4%
	Wanted to earn a Master's degree	744	28.8%

34. Which of these best describes your primary job role while you were			88.8%
preparing to be a school lea	ader?		11.2%
	General Education Teacher	1076	46.9%
	Special Education Teacher	303	13.2%
	Counselor or other Pupil Personnel Services role	127	5.5%
	School Nurse, Teacher Librarian, or Speech Language		
	Pathologist	24	1.0%
	Mentor/Master/Teacher Leader	348	15.2%
	School Administrator (Intern program)	236	10.3%
	Other	181	7.9%

Wanted to earn units for the salary schedule

Other

_				
Dem	α	rar	۱hi	icc

25 And you Highering and phine? (Calcat only one)	2267	87.7%
35. Are you Hispanic or Latino? (Select only one)		12.3%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	1576	69.5%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	691	30.5%

Total survey respondents: 2585 Response rate: 97.1 %

36. What is your race?

	2023	78.3%
	DNR 562	21.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	68	2.6%
Chinese	57	2.2%
Japanese	30	1.2%
Korean	33	1.3%
Vietnamese	18	0.7%
Asian Indian	27	1.0%
Laotian	3	0.1%
Cambodian	5	0.2%
Filipino	58	2.2%
Hmong	7	0.3%
Other Asian	14	0.5%
Black or African American	177	6.8%
Hawaiian	6	0.2%
Guamanian	2	0.1%
Samoan	2	0.1%
Tahitian	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	10	0.4%
White	1606	62.1%

37. What is your gender?

	2275	88.0%
	DNR 310	12.0%
Male	599	26.3%
Female	1652	72.6%
Decline to state	24	1.1%

Preliminary Administrative Services Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 97.1 %)

# Survey Requests Made	Comple	of Program ters who onded	Name of Institution
3	3	100 %	ANIMO LEADERSHIP CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL (GREEN DOT PUBLIC SCHOOLS)
47	45	95.74 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
37	35	94.59 %	BAY AREA SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE (REACH INSTITUTE)
59	59	100 %	BRANDMAN UNIVERSITY
5	5	100 %	CALIFORNIA BAPTIST UNIVERSITY
62	59	95.16 %	CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
22	22	100 %	CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
64	63	98.44 %	CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA
25	24	96 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD
11	10	90.91 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHANNEL ISLANDS
31	31	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHICO
142	139	97.89 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DOMINGUEZ HILLS
78	75	96.15 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY
100	95	95 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
43	41	95.35 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
61	60	98.36 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH
46	43	93.48 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES
95	92	96.84 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
19	19	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO
42	41	97.62 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
63	62	98.41 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS
			CALTERDAL COATE UNIVERSAL COANTOL AND
75	72	96 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, STANISLAUS
75 178	176	96 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
178	176	98.88 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
178 37	176 36	98.88 % 97.3 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
178 37	176 36 3	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19	176 36 3 19	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19	176 36 3 19	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19 1	176 36 3 19 1	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19 1 9	176 36 3 19 1 9	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
178 37 3 19 1 9 66	176 36 3 19 1 9 65	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 100 % 101 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64 5	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5 41	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 % 100 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64 5 41	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5 64 5	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.11 % 98.88 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64 5 41 53 89	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5 64 5 41 52 88	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.11 % 98.88 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64 5 41 53 89 48	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5 64 5 41 52 88	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 % 100 % 98.88 % 98.88 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64 5 41 53 89 48 76	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5 64 5 41 52 88 46 71	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.11 % 98.88 % 95.83 % 93.42 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
178 37 3 19 1 9 66 3 5 309 7 64 5 41 53 89 48 76 10	176 36 3 19 1 9 65 3 5 302 5 64 5 41 52 88 46 71 10 36	98.88 % 97.3 % 100 % 100 % 100 % 98.48 % 100 % 97.73 % 71.43 % 100 % 100 % 98.11 % 98.88 % 95.83 % 93.42 % 100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY MADERA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS MILLS COLLEGE MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

Preliminary Administrative Services Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 97.1 %)

# Survey Requests Made			Name of Institution
120	112	93.33 %	SANTA CLARA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
12	12	100 %	SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY
18	18	100 %	SHASTA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
4	4	100 %	SIMPSON UNIVERSITY
16	16	100 %	SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY
11	10	90.91 %	ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF CALIFORNIA
39	36	92.31 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
16	16	100 %	TOURO UNIVERSITY
25	25	100 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
24	23	95.83 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
14	14	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE
34	34	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
13	12	92.31 %	UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE
11	11	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX
22	21	95.45 %	UNIVERSITY OF REDLANDS
4	4	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO
28	28	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
10	9	90 %	UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC